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ABSTRACT

Smith-Waterman Algorithms (SWA) is becoming popular among researchers especially in the field of bioinformatics. The algorithm performance is better among other known alignment algorithms because of the high level of accuracy it exhibits. However, the algorithm performance is at low speed due to its computational complexity. Researchers are concerned with this problem and are looking for various ways to address the issue. Different approaches are adopted to improve the speed, such as the use of a systolic array to accelerate the algorithm, use of recursive variable expansion (RVE) method approach; some implemented the algorithm on software and hardware, etc. This paper used Residue Number System (RNS) approach to the algorithm of Smith-Waterman and carried out hardware implementation on Quartus II, 64-Bit version 12.1 (Cyclone II family) VHDL application software.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of biological sequence alignment cannot be overemphasized in computational biology, where functionalities are compared to find the optimal alignment between any two sequences of varying or same lengths. Alignment score is computed based on the total number of gap penalty, matches and mismatches in the alignment. Biological sequence alignment helps in the discovery of functional, structural and evolutionary information in the sequences of DNA, RNA and Proteins [1].

Biological sequence alignment is classified into local and global alignment. In local sequence alignment, the optimal value is calculated from the most similar sub-region common to both sequences while in global alignment, the two sequences must be of similar length and the optimal value is computed from beginning to the end of the sequences [2].

There are many techniques proposed to solve the sequence alignment method, like Dynamic Programming (DP), Heuristic, Linear programming, Hidden Markov model and T-Coffee [3]. Smith-Waterman algorithm (SWA) and Needleman Wunsch algorithm (NWA) are based on dynamic programming (DP) technique with space and time complexity of $O(mn)$ [4] where $m$ and $n$ are the lengths of the two sequences being considered for alignment. Heuristic algorithms such as Fast-All (FASTA) and Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) are based on approximations and fast at the expense of accuracy while the NWA and SWA algorithms are commonly used to find global and local alignment respectively [5,6,7,8,9].

SWA is the most common biological sequence alignment algorithms; it is very accurate with a high computational cost at the expense of speed. These constraints of SWA have been tolerated in the past, but due to exponential growth in the size of the biological database, there is a need to enhance the acceleration of the algorithm. Some researchers approached the problem using a systolic array to accelerate the algorithm, and some use recursive variable expansion, some implemented the algorithm on software and hardware, etc. Residue Number System (RNS) is a number system that can improve the acceleration of any application that uses the operations of addition, subtraction and multiplication. It has also been successfully applied in Fourier application, Digital Signal Processing, digital filtering, image processing, cryptography, among others.

2. BACKGROUND

The Residue Number System (RNS) is an integer that is capable of supporting high speed concurrent automatic [10]. It may be defined as a set of relatively prime integer called moduli which can be denoted as $m_1$, $m_2$, $m_3$ ... $m_i$, where $m_i$ is the $i^{th}$ modulus [11] and gcd$(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Each X can be represented as a set of smaller integers called $x_1, x_2, x_3 ... x_i$, where $X \mod m_i = x_i$; $X = \{x_i\}$

RNS has the capabilities to support parallel carry-free addition, borrow-free subtraction and single step multiplication without partial fraction [12,13,14]. Many moduli sets are used in RNS with different Dynamic Range (DR). The most popular 3n-bit DR moduli set known as traditional moduli set is $\{2^n-1, 2^n, 2^n+1\}$ [15]. Others are $\{2^n-1, 2^n-1, 2^n+1\}, \{2^n-1, 2^n, 2^n+1\}$ [16]. The DR of 3n-bits produced by this moduli set can be used by any application with higher DR. 4n-bit DR 4 moduli set such as $\{2^n-1, 2^n, 2^n+1, 2^{n+1}\}, \{2^n-1, 2^n, 2^n+1, 2^{n+1}\}$ [17] were suggested. In order to raise parallelism in RNS arithmetic, 5n-bit moduli set were suggested $\{2^n, 2^{n+1}, 2^{n+1}\}, \{2^n, 2^n+1\}$ etc were also proposed.

2.1 Selection of Moduli in RNS

In RNS, the Dynamic Range (DR) is the product of all the moduli such that the interval can be uniquely represented in RNS [18,19], this is an important aspect to be considered in the choice of moduli in RNS. Proper choice of moduli when designing RNS system is very essential [20]; this is because the moduli choice affects the complexity of forward conversion, reverse conversion and RNS arithmetic circuits. Also, the speed of the resulting conversion depends on selected moduli [21]; therefore, moduli selection and data conversion are very critical in RNS to binary conversion [20,22]. However, it is a common fact that as the number of moduli increases, the speed of residue arithmetic units increases and the conversion from residue-binary becomes slower and complex [23]. Abdullahi and Skavantzos stated that the moduli set $m_i$ and $m_j$ satisfy the following criteria:
They must be pairwisely prime (i.e. \( \gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1 \))
Each moduli \( m_i \) should be as small as possible so that operation modulo \( m_i \) require minimum computation time
The moduli \( m_i, m_j \) should imply simple binary to Residue Number system and Residue Number System to binary conversion.
The moduli product should be large enough to implement the decision dynamic range.

However, in a case where a large dynamic range (DR) is required, large moduli might be in a better performance. In addition, another fundamental area where attention should be taken is the hardware selection, which is a determining factor for RNS performance. The simplified conversion equation of the system is computed by using adders, like Carry Propagation Adder (CPA), Carry Save Adder (CSA) [24,25], etc.

### 2.1.1 Lemma

If \( m_1, m_2, ..., m_n \) are set of moduli, then the dynamic range (DR) is the product of all the moduli set, say \( M \), then every representable number \( X \) satisfy either of the following

\[
-\frac{M-1}{2} \leq X \leq \frac{M-1}{2} \text{ if } M \text{ is odd (1)}
\]

\[
-\frac{M}{2} \leq X \leq \frac{M}{2} - 1 \text{ if } M \text{ is even (2)}
\]

### 2.2 The Smith-Waterman Algorithm

The optimal local alignment of two sequences \( X \) and \( Y \) is given by the algorithm of SW [26] in equation (3) for the computation of local alignment of matrix \( M_{i,j} \)

\[
M(i,j) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \\
M(i-1,j-1) + S(x_i, y_j) & \text{match/mismatch} \\
M(i-1,j) + g & \\
M(i,j-1) + g & \text{} 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( M(0,0) = 0, M(0,j) = g \times j \) and \( M(i,0) = g \times i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \). The \( g \) is the penalty for inserting a gap in any of the sequence and \( M(i,j) \) is the score for match/mismatch, depending upon whether \( X[i] = Y[j] \) or \( X[i] \neq Y[j] \) [27]. The dynamic programming step of a matrix \( M \) to compute maximum value of \( M(i,j) \) is shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Dynamic programming step](image)
At the end of step 3, the total time complexity of Smith-Waterman algorithm is given by $O(m+n) + O(mn) + O(m+n) = O(mn)$. The space complexity of the algorithm is given by $O(mn)$ because the size of the matrix is $m \times n$ [26]. The corresponding optimal alignment of the two sequences with the best score of 9 is computed in fig. 4.
3. METHODOLOGY

This research work aims to apply RNS to improve the speed of SWA. The functions in the algorithm were studied and identified the one that consumes most time as depicted in Table 4. Therefore, the speed of this function is improved through the three RNS moduli set \( m = 2^{2n+1} - 1, 2^n - 1, 2^{2n} - 1 \). In the implementation, our \( n = 2 \) gives \( m = \{31, 2, 15\} \) with a dynamic range (DR) of 930. Since the DR is an even number, equation (2) is applied, meaning the range of numbers that can be represented by this scheme is given to be \(-465 \leq X \leq 464\), and therefore, the values representable by this dynamic range for signed numbers are given in Table 1. On applying the scoring parameters (gap, match/mismatch) and RNS to equation (3), the range of our values will fall within Table 1.

3.1 The RNS–SWA Conversion and Design Entry

The first step is to convert the number in binary format to RNS format. The memoryless RNS converter is input into Quartus II version 12.1 VHDL application software using Altera Cyclone II EP2C20F484C7. This allows the use of tools embedded in the software to create a logic design. The software directs the RNS comparator to pick the best alignment value after several comparisons, as shown in Fig. 5. The best alignment is produced at a reduced computation time.

3.1.1 The RNS Processor

After binary conversion to RNS numbers, it follows RNS processing where the inherent properties of RNS like carry free addition, borrow free subtraction, etc. will be applied with respect to equation (3) as displayed in Fig. 5. The sequence involve (i) addition of upper diagonal elements, \( M(i-1,j-1) + S_{ij} \); addition of left elements, \( M(i,j-1) + g \) and (ii) addition of upper elements, \( M(i-1,j) + g \) where \( g \) and \( S_{ij} \) are the gap and match/mismatch values respectively. This is sequence been controlled by the control unit.

3.1.2 The compilation and simulation step

The compilation is done in order to convert the source code to object code which is logically down loaded to a target device and the software simulation is carried out by the software to ensure that the logic circuits function as expected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decimal number</th>
<th>Hexadecimal number</th>
<th>MOD(31,15,2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-465</td>
<td>E2F</td>
<td>(4,1,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-464</td>
<td>E30</td>
<td>(5,1,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-463</td>
<td>E31</td>
<td>(6,2,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>(4,C,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>(5,D,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>(6,E,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>(7,F,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0,0,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>(1,1,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>(2,2,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>(3,3,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>(4,4,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
<td>1CE</td>
<td>(28,12,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>1CF</td>
<td>(29,13,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464</td>
<td>1D0</td>
<td>(30,14,0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.3 The RNS forward converter

The Moduli set of this research work \( M = (31, 15, 2) \) will give a DR of 930. The inputs variables \( M(i,j-1), M(i-1,j), M(i-1,j-1), S_{ij} \) and \( d \) in decimal/binary are converted to residue number system by binary to RNS converter. This is referred to as the forward converter [28]. The three RNS processors then act on the input values by applying the inherent properties of RNS i.e. the carry-free addition, borrow-free subtraction, etc. Each of the three processors is independent of one another, as shown in Fig 6.

3.2 The RNS Comparator

The comparator in Fig 5 computes the final optimal value \( M(i,j) \) from \( M(i-1,j), M(i,j-1), M(i-1,j-1) \) using equation 3. It comprises of thee registers and two multiplexers. The RNS-SWA comparator is used in a Quartus II, 64-Bit version 12.1 (Cyclone II family) VHDL application software and the graphic entry or schematic capture tool embedded in the software are fully used. This is later compiled in order to translate the source code to object code. A: typical RNS-
A SWA based signal processor of our design is shown in Fig. 5.

Table 2 shows the parallel compilation and Table 3 shows the summary report of the final compilation. 2 out of 18,752 total logic elements within the device are used and a negligible number of the logic cell 190 / 12,400 (1%) within the device are also used when implemented on EP2C20F484C7 device (Cyclone II).

### Table 2. Parallel compilation report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usage by Processor</th>
<th>% Time Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-4 processors</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 processors</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 processor</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number detected on machine</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum used</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum allowed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average used</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Flow summary of SWA_RNS processor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Successful - Tue May 29 18:17:46 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartus II 64-Bit Version</td>
<td>12.1 Build 243 01/31/2013 SP 1 SJ Web Edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision Name</td>
<td>RNS_COMPARATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-level Entity Name</td>
<td>RNS_COMPARATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cyclone II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device</td>
<td>EP2C20F484C7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing Models</td>
<td>Cyclone II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total logic elements</td>
<td>2 / 18,752 ( &lt; 1 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total combinational functions</td>
<td>2 / 18,752 ( &lt; 1 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated logic registers</td>
<td>0 / 18,752 ( 0 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total registers</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pins</td>
<td>7 / 315 ( 2 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total virtual pins</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total memory bits</td>
<td>0 / 239,616 ( 0 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ALUTs</td>
<td>190 / 12,400 ( 1 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedded Multiplier 9-bit elements</td>
<td>1 / 52 ( &lt; 1 % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PLLs</td>
<td>0 / 4 ( 0 % )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

To inspect the performance evaluation of our work, we compare it with another Hasan & Al-Ars (2007) in the state of the art.

From Table 4, the labeled Fill_Matrix_2 is identified to have consumed 72.33% of the total time. It is this Fill_Matrix_2 that needs to be implemented on VHDL. The time 5.23 ms is the total time when the code is repeated 100 times.

The time consumed by matrix fill stage function is 0.0523 ms with hardware delay 0.0146 μs and the time consumed by software equivalent is 52.32 μs

\[
% \text{runtime} = \left( \frac{1 \times 0.0146 \times 10^{-6}}{5.23 \times 10^{-6}} - \frac{1}{5.23 \times 10^{-6}} \right) \times 100
\]

\[
= 3582\% \ (35.82 \text{ times})
\]

Timing simulation of RNS-SWA architecture critical delay is 10.38 ns. Comparison between the total delay [27] of hardware implementation denoted as LZ_hw and hardware implementation of this work is HK_hw is given as the percentage runtime improvement over their work.

The % runtime improvement ratio of the RNS-SWA implementation to [27] is computed as

\[
% \text{runtime ratio} = \frac{\frac{14.6 \times 10^{-9}}{10.3 \times 10^{-9}}}{100}
\]

\[
= 140.63\%
\]

Runtime improvement over the hardware =

\[
\left( \frac{1 \times 10^{-6}}{14.6 \times 10^{-9}} - \frac{1}{10.3 \times 10^{-9}} \right) \times 100
\]

\[
= 40.66\%
\]
Table 4. [27] Result for software implementation of SWA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>No. of calls</th>
<th>No. of clock ticks</th>
<th>No. of clock CYCLES</th>
<th>Total Time (ms)</th>
<th>% Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial_Matrix</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>71944</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>9.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill_Matrix_1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32392</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill_Matrix_2</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>524040</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>72.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace_back_1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31232</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace_back_2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>64944</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The algorithm of SWA uses dynamic programming approach; Hasan & Al-Ars (2007) [27] identifies the part of the function that consumes more computational time and attempts to improve the speed of that function. This slight improvement in speed enhances the performance of the entire algorithm. The aim of this research work is to improve the record of Hasan & Al-Ars (2007) [27] whose work is known to be faster on the state of the art. This research work is centered on the function that consumes more computational time in the algorithm of Smith-Waterman. The residue number system was introduced to solve the problem and compared with the results of Hasan and Al-Ars [27]. The percentage speed gained in our work is 140.63% faster than [27] and also the run-time of the hardware implementation of our research work is 40.66% better than [27].

6. CONCLUSION

This research work investigates the likely possibility of using Residue Number System in the implementation of the algorithm of Smith-Waterman. Three moduli sets were used with a dynamic range of 5n-bits and designed RNS comparator. The simulated result of our research work is compared with the work of Hasan and Al-Ars (2007) [27] in terms of speed and hardware implementation. Our findings show a better improvement than the work of Hasan and Al-Ars [27]. This means there is hope for the bioinformatics community in addressing the speed bottleneck in SWA. With our result, RNS is a good candidate to implement the algorithm of Smith-Waterman.
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